Middle-latency auditory responses in neurological diseases

Summary

The presence of middle-latency evoked auditory potentials allows for integrity evaluation of both peripheral and the central auditory systems, and also, that of the nucleus and auditory pathways of sub-cortical region. They have also been used to study alterations of these structures in different neurological diseases. Aims: the aim of this study is to verify the latency of the middle-latency evoked auditory potentials and detect the presence of any deflections in subjects with neurological diseases. Materials and Methods: In a clinical and prospective trial, 20 patients having central neurological diseases of various etiologies were evaluated and, positive and negative deflections produced by the middle-latency evoked auditory potentials in these patients were analyzed. Results: Data was statistically analyzed and showed significant modifications in middle-latency evoked auditory potentials. Conclusion: The authors concluded that patients with neurological disorders have either wave suppression or enlarged latency periods in relation to normal subjects.
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INTRODUCTION

Obtaining middle latency auditory evoked potentials is highly important in an attempt to improve the objectiveness of assessing patients with hearing loss, both in regards of determining their thresholds, as well as in locating the lesion. Today, they have also been used to study the alterations that happen in different neurological disorders.

These potentials represent a series of deflections that happen between 10 and 80 milliseconds (ms) after the auditory stimulus, and are located beyond brainstem evoked potentials, preceding later responses, which are related to cortical and cognitive functions.

These responses were firstly recorded at the "Massachusetts Institute of Technology" by Geisler et al.1, in 1958, at the time they used a computerized device to measure the responses. These authors inferred at the time that the deflections observed in their work represented afferent auditory activities associated with the anterior regions of the cerebral cortex.

Nonetheless, in 1963, Bickford et al., stated that these responses were purely generated by muscle potentials2. With that, they stopped studying these potentials as useful to assess afferent auditory pathways.

Starting in 1967, studies3 were carried out showing the clinical applicability of these responses. This was reinforced after researchers started using surface electrodes in patients who underwent neurosurgery4.

In 1974, many waves were described, such as N18 (Na), P30 (Pa) and P50 (Pb), trying to separate these responses from the pure myogenic responses, such as the ones that occur by contraction of the post-auricular and temporal muscles5.

Later studies6 showed the presence of these deflections even when there was muscle paralysis obtained through the administration of succinylcholine. These data were reinforced by using an anesthetic agent (Fentanyl) associated with “pancuronium”, which caused muscle anesthesia7.

From then on, many authors carried out studies on the sites that generate deflections with latencies between 10 and 80ms. It was so demonstrated that wave Pa suffers a clear reduction in patients with temporal lobe lesion, when compared to the normal hemisphere8, and through animal studies, they were able to differentiate the primary sensorial portions from those originating in the extra-lemniscuses pathways of the auditory system9 (the reticular substance, for example), suggesting the importance of the thalamic-cortical pathways.

The many deflections are described in details in relation to their sites of generation, using surface electrodes in patients undergoing neurosurgery10.

Despite these evidences, more systematized studies about these responses were made to assess afferent auditory pathways, studies that only started to gain some ground at the late 80’s.

In 1990, these middle latency potentials are characterized between 20 and 70ms, both with monoauricular and binauricular stimulation, with the following distribution: Pa, the best established middle latency response, at 29ms; Pb at 53ms and Tp at 41ms. Intracranial pharmacological and topographical evaluation, and those by induced lesions indicate that these three positive deflections are of neural origin. It is still unclear if Pa and/or Pb are produced in the Heschl gyrus, in the primary cortex; while Tp is probably generated by the auditory cortex, on the lateral surface of the temporal lobe11.

In studies carried out with lab animals, it was shown that responses obtained at the ventral and caudal-medial subdivisions of the medial geniculate body are functionally different. The inhibitory effect on recordings obtained from the ventral subdivision is similar to the one seen in middle latency components recorded on the temporal cortex, while the recording obtained from the caudal-medial subdivision is similar to the ones in the middle line. Moreover, these imply that binauricular patterns seen in the primary and non-primary auditory cortex may be processed and coded in the thalamus12.

Other studies showed that the generators of the components obtained at 30, 50, 60 and 75ms are distributed medio-lateraly along the Heschl gyrus13.

It is believed that the generation of middle latency auditory potentials may reflect an interface of primary and non-primary areas of the thalamic-cortical auditory pathways. Primary and non-primary components may be differentiated in numerous ways: by lesion, by stimuli variations and topographically. Non-primary components develop early on and are likely to be dependent on sleep status, while primary components develop later on and are detectable during sleep14.

Thus, it is characterized that middle latency auditory responses, are a sequence of evoked potentials, which occur within a given time span, with latencies of 10 to 60ms. These potentials would have a relatively long development time, which extends through a person’s first decade of life. We then describe the characteristics of development alterations for each one of these deflections, not only related to their formats, but also to the potential reproducibility, alert status dependence and stimuli rates. Studies both in humans and animals indicate that these complex changes may be the result of multiple generator systems that have different development stages15.

Obtaining middle latency auditory potentials start having major clinical applications in different situations, such as in the electrophysiological determination of
auditory thresholds in low frequencies, in assessing co-
chlear implant functioning, assessing the functioning of
the auditory pathways, in locating lesions in the auditory
pathways and in intra-operative applications\textsuperscript{16}.

These evoked potentials may be used to assess
information processing. When we analyze somatosen-
sory and auditory middle latency auditory potentials
concurrently\textsuperscript{17}, most specifically P50, in healthy males,
it is suggested a support for the information processing
deficiency theory in individuals with schizophrenia (de-
defect in P50).

In an attempt to explore other aspects of infor-
mation processing, there are some researchers studying
the habituation of auditory evoked responses (P50) with
the use of repetitive stimuli\textsuperscript{19}. It is observed that the P50
response amplitude to the second of two homologous
stimuli was significantly less reduced in patients with
migraine when compared to healthy volunteers.

Still in 2001, based on middle latency evoked
auditory responses seen in patients with Obstructive
Sleep Apnea Syndrome, before and after treatment, it
is suggested that the ascending reticular activity may be
involved in these patients\textsuperscript{19}. After treating apnea, there
was a significant improvement in nocturnal hypoxia and
there also was an increase in P1 peak of middle latency,
and also these potentials had a better distribution in their
electrical field in the scalp.

In this same year, a study was carried out in which
they obtained brain stem auditory responses and also
middle latency auditory responses in three groups: one
from patients with tinnitus, another one from normal
individuals and, the third, from elderly patients\textsuperscript{20}. In
the groups of tinnitus patients and elderly patients, as far as
brain stem potentials are concerned, we observed alte-
rations in relation to wave VII, and in terms of medium
latency potentials, many wide deflections were observed
occurring in some of this group’s components, but not in
all of them. There still was a widening of these middle
deflections without the corresponding widening in brain
stem potentials. In our opinion, this suggests there could
be a selective alteration in the middle latency deflection
generators in patients with tinnitus and different age
effects on cochlear physiology.

As they researched using two comparative metho-
ds, obtaining middle latency evoked auditory responses
by electroencephalographic recordings or by means of
magnetoencephalographies, multiple supratemporal
bypasses were suggested for the different deflections
observed. Therefore, Pa (28ms) is correlated to the me-
dial portion of the Heschl gyrus; Nb (40ms)/ Pb1(52ms)
to the lateral face of the supratemporal gyrus; and Pb2
(74ms) to the antero-lateral portion of the Heschl gyrus\textsuperscript{21}.

They argued that these findings are in accordance with
prior invasive intracerebral recordings and with animal
studies, which reported the secondary areas involved
in the generation of middle latency evoked auditory
components.

Later on, carrying out a simultaneous capture of
intracranial auditory evoked potentials directly from the
auditory cortex and the medial geniculate body\textsuperscript{22}, from a
patient, the same researchers noticed an initial negative
response generated at the level of the medial geniculate
body, with latency of about 13.5ms, and two positive
peaks (P21 and P29), with higher amplitudes for low
tones and suggested the existence of a possible tono-
topic organization of this nucleus. They also observed
that peaks originating from the thalamus activity were
strongly interlaced with the cortical activities recorded
in the Heschl gyrus before 30ms (N13 precedes the first
cortical component in 3.5ms; while P21 and P29 precede
and delays, respectively, in relation to the two following
cortical responses that have reverse polarity in an interval
of 1.5 - 2ms. This study provides new functional data on
the medial geniculate body activity and suggests a more
complex role for the thalamus insofar as sound percep-
tion is concerned.

In our country, in 2003, a study considered critical
the need to understand the maturation of the auditory
system when one wants to check the integrity of central
auditory pathways. When we studied middle latency
potentials in 155 normal individuals from 07 to 16 years
of age, we noticed that it was possible to observe a Pa
wave in all individuals tested; however, it was not pos-
sible to check central nervous system maturity through
its latency and amplitude\textsuperscript{23}.

When middle latency potentials were analyzed in
patients with myotonic dystrophy and central nervous
system involvement, one notices that Na and Pa amplitu-
des are significantly higher when compared to the ones
recorded from normal individuals \textsuperscript{24}.

It has been shown the importance of recording
different accessible potentials in order to monitor the
functional status of the central nervous system when as-
sessing cortical dysfunctions resulting from hemorrhagic,
ischemic or hypoxic strokes\textsuperscript{25}.

Brainstem evoked auditory potentials are altered
in pregnant diabetic women when compared to normal
pregnant women. In the former, latencies were observed
in deflections I to V and interpeak latencies I-III and I-V
were significantly higher, while wave V amplitude was
reduced. Nonetheless, we did not find any significant
alteration in the latencies of medium potentials\textsuperscript{26}.

These potentials represent an important tool to
assess cerebral function, not only from the auditory stand-
point, but also in patients with neurological disorders,
as it has been shown in many studies with comatose pa-
tients or patients who suffered a head injury. Prognostic values of the somatosensory and auditory cortex evoked responses are evaluated in comatose patients.27

In a population of 27 patients with schizophrenia, when compared to the normal population, alterations were detected in the form of middle latency deflections, and also increased latencies. It is suggested that the morphological abnormalities of middle latencies in schizophrenia are significant and must be examined in this population.28

Investigating the P50 deflection in patients with post-traumatic disorders secondary to urban violence, and comparing it to normal individuals and schizophrenic patients, we can notice that these potentials bear the same parameters as those observed for patients with schizophrenia and are lower than the ones observed in normal subjects. Thus, it is suggested that the P50 paradigm may also become an objective parameter used to assess the new treatment modalities for post-traumatic disorders.

In recent years, many scientific investigations have been carried out, showing that these potentials are related to the auditory nuclei and pathways located on the subcortical and primary cortical regions, especially in the thalamic-cortical tract.

GOALS

Our study of middle latency evoked auditory potentials in a sample of individuals with neurological disorders, with central involvement (brainstem and subcortical regions), had the following goals:

1. Assess the presence of the many deflections originated from medium latency auditory potentials.
2. Compare the values of their latencies, as well as that from the Na-Pa interval, with the values found in a normal, standardized, previously studied population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Our series counted on 20 individuals, from both genders, without a pre-determined age, who had neurological disorders, of different etiologies of a central level, who were neurologically, otologically and audiologically assessed. These evaluations were not invasive and, usually, do not cause any harm to the individual.

All the individuals participating in this study were duly informed about the procedures to which they would be submitted, as well as the study’s goal, and they signed an informed consent.

This study was quantitative and the sampling was non-probabilistic. The study subjects were chosen by convenience and the data collected were assessed taking into account inter-individual relations. We used a cohorte approach, with contemporary evaluation.

We applied descriptive and inference statistical studies. In them, data were analyzed having in mind the “T student”, bi-caudal, test, with equal sample variance, considering a significance level (p) below or equal to 0.05.

The study that involved human beings followed strict ethical rules that were established and was submitted to the Ethics Committee of the institution under protocol # 201/04.

The selection process counted on anamnesis, neurological assessment, clinical otological inspection, acoustic immittance measures, stapedial reflexes study, conventional tonal audiometry and logoaudiometry. When necessary, it was complemented with other tests, such as electroencephalography and image evaluations (CT scan; MRI; angio-resonance and/or “echodoppler” of carotid and vertebral arteries.

All individuals were submitted to the capture of Brainstem Evoked Auditory Potentials and Medium Latency Evoked Auditory Potentials.

Brainstem Potentials and Middle Latency Potentials capture were carried out with the equipment and the individuals inside a shielded acoustic booth, in order to avoid electrical interference of any nature (for example static electricity).

With that we would only have left the interferences belonging to the individuals that were tested or the examiner. We also tried to avoid them by means of additional care. The electrodes, as well as the ear phones were positioned always by the same examiner, after proper individual education. Booth environmental conditions (temperature, lighting, silence and positioning) were the most adequate ones to keep the subject calm and relaxed, without letting him/her sleep. The electrodes were attached after careful skin cleaning, with proper electrolytic paste, so as to better capture the potentials of interest. The test only started when the baseline electroencephalogram was stable and without interferences.

The equipment used was the CE - EP 25 operational system. The CE brand indicates that “Intercoustics” fulfills the demands required in attachment VI of medical guideline 93/42/EEC and was approved by TÜV, with identification # 0123.

The exam protocol used in our research for the capture of middle latency potentials is the following:

- Stimulus: “Click”, lasting for 100ms, with alternate polarity, without masking, at the frequency of 7.0 stimuli per second, in a total of 1000 stimuli.
- Stimulus intensity: 70 dB SPL, introduced through TDH 39 ear phones.
- Electrodes: Two “Live” electrodes, placed on the upper region of the scalp, at half the distance between...
the cranial vault vertex and the mastoid region, in each side; two “reference” electrodes, were placed in the mastoid region, on each side, and a “ground” electrode was placed on the glabella.

- Impedance: electrodes impedance was around 2W, and a maximum of 3W is accepted.
- Recording time span: from 0 to 80ms.
- Filters: 10 Hz high pass and 1200 Hz low pass.
- Reproducibility index: 95%.
- We used mono-auricular stimulation.
- Stimuli and response capture sequence:
  1. Stimulus: Right Ear Capture: Right Hemisphere (RERH)
  2. Stimulus: Left Ear Capture: Right Hemisphere (LERH)
  3. Stimulus: Right Ear Capture: Left Hemisphere (RELH)
  4. Stimulus: Left Ear Capture: Left Hemisphere (LELH)

RESULTS

The patients evaluated, in a total of 20, in relation to their respective neurological disorders, are detailed on Table 1.

After submitting these patients to the predicted exams, the records obtained were analyzed and plotted on the following tables.

Table 2 shows the minimum and maximum values in the periods of deflection latency Po, Na, Pa, Nb and Pb, as well as from interval Na-Pa (in ms), with their mean values and standard deviations; such deflections were generated with acoustic stimuli in each ear, respectively and with their records being obtained specifically for each cerebral hemisphere.

Table 3 shows the data aforementioned, grouped in totals.

Table 4 analyzes the significance of the values obtained, crossing the different records obtained in each cerebral hemisphere with the stimulus being given separately in each ear.

Table 1. List of patients who participated in this study and their respective neurological diagnosis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Initials</th>
<th>Neurological Disorder</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>BPS</td>
<td>Cerebral metastasis in the left hemisphere (03 nodules) - renal primary tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>MZG</td>
<td>Cavernous sinus tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>GMB</td>
<td>Left side ischemic stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>AKH</td>
<td>Left side ischemic stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>EFVB</td>
<td>Aneurism of the left carotid artery (posterior communicating)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>AMRB</td>
<td>Multiple sclerosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>MIC</td>
<td>Cerebral atrophy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>NMMA</td>
<td>Hydrocephaly and infection of the pellucid septum - with lesions to the cranial nerves I, II, III, IV.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>MLSN</td>
<td>Parkinson’s disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>Hydrocephaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>JLO</td>
<td>Congenital anomaly - arachnoid cyst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>THRM</td>
<td>Depression - psychiatric patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>EBR</td>
<td>Subdural hematoma in the parietal region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>NFS</td>
<td>Right side ischemic stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>BMP</td>
<td>Subarachnoid hematoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>BBC</td>
<td>Temporal-parietal expansive lesion and paroxysmal activity of diffuse projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>CCS</td>
<td>Psychosis and chronic chemical intoxication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>AJS</td>
<td>Tumor in the pontine-cerebellar angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>AMRCT</td>
<td>Demyelinating disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>NAP</td>
<td>Demyelinating disease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Nb and Pb, as well as from interval Na - Pa (in ms), with their mean value and standard deviation using acoustic stimuli in each ear respectively, and obtaining records specifically for each cerebral hemisphere.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stimulus X Capture</th>
<th>Statistical analysis</th>
<th>Po</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>Pa</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Na-Pa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RERH</td>
<td>Valid #</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>11.33</td>
<td>21.67</td>
<td>32.33</td>
<td>43.33</td>
<td>5.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>18.67</td>
<td>25.33</td>
<td>45.33</td>
<td>67.00</td>
<td>74.67</td>
<td>27.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean value</td>
<td>12.23</td>
<td>17.57</td>
<td>32.98</td>
<td>48.98</td>
<td>59.40</td>
<td>15.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St. Deviation</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td>9.43</td>
<td>5.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RELH</td>
<td>Valid #</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>22.33</td>
<td>28.33</td>
<td>36.00</td>
<td>5.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>17.33</td>
<td>26.33</td>
<td>50.67</td>
<td>63.33</td>
<td>77.00</td>
<td>31.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean value</td>
<td>12.45</td>
<td>19.37</td>
<td>33.84</td>
<td>47.27</td>
<td>56.53</td>
<td>14.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St. Deviation</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>10.29</td>
<td>14.43</td>
<td>7.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LERH</td>
<td>Valid #</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>11.67</td>
<td>22.67</td>
<td>27.33</td>
<td>39.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>32.33</td>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>63.00</td>
<td>79.00</td>
<td>27.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean value</td>
<td>13.52</td>
<td>19.02</td>
<td>32.90</td>
<td>47.52</td>
<td>61.65</td>
<td>13.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St. Deviation</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>11.74</td>
<td>13.44</td>
<td>5.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LELH</td>
<td>Valid #</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>8.67</td>
<td>15.33</td>
<td>23.67</td>
<td>33.67</td>
<td>40.33</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>16.33</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>56.67</td>
<td>67.33</td>
<td>77.33</td>
<td>35.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean value</td>
<td>12.56</td>
<td>19.63</td>
<td>34.56</td>
<td>49.21</td>
<td>55.82</td>
<td>14.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St. Deviation</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>12.39</td>
<td>11.48</td>
<td>6.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Minimum and maximum values of the latency periods of latencies from deflections Po, Na, Pa, Nb and Pb, as well as in interval Na - Pa (in ms), with mean value and standard deviation, grouped, in relation to the stimulated ear and the cerebral hemisphere, place of its capture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stimulus X Capture</th>
<th>Statistical analysis</th>
<th>Po</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>Pa</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Na-Pa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>Valid #</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>8.67</td>
<td>11.33</td>
<td>21.67</td>
<td>27.33</td>
<td>36.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>56.67</td>
<td>67.33</td>
<td>79.00</td>
<td>35.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean value</td>
<td>12.66</td>
<td>18.86</td>
<td>33.58</td>
<td>48.31</td>
<td>58.75</td>
<td>14.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St. Deviation</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>10.86</td>
<td>12.11</td>
<td>6.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Significance analysis of the values obtained in relation to the latency periods of deflections Po, Na, Pa, Nb, Pb and the interval Na-Pa, crossing the different recordings obtained in each cerebral hemisphere and with the stimulus being given to each ear separately.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stimulus X Capture</th>
<th>Po</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>Pa</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Na-Pa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RERH x RELH</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LERH x LELH</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RERH x LERH</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RELH x LELH</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RERH x LELH</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RELH x LERH</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The statistical comparison between the results obtained from the group with neurological disorders and the normal group, previously standardized can be seen on Table 5.

### DISCUSSION

The capture of middle latency evoked auditory potentials, described approximately five decades ago, was the topic of much controversy during a long time in regards of its origin (neural or purely muscular)\textsuperscript{11-17}.

Many authors have performed research with normal individuals using surface electrodes or placed inside the cranial cavity during a surgical procedure in human beings,\textsuperscript{10,22} or in animals,\textsuperscript{5} in order to determine the standards in relation to the medium latency auditory evoked potential records. Through electrophysiological, topographical, pharmacological methods and lesions, it has been shown that the region of origin of these potentials is located in the Heschel’s gyrus\textsuperscript{11,13}, in the primary cortex. Studies both in humans and in animals indicate that these complex changes may be the result of multiple generating systems that show different stages of development\textsuperscript{12,15}.

Some authors, by means of electroencephalographic records and magnetoencephalographic data suggest multiple supratemporal sources for the many deflections observed. They then correlate Pa (28ms) to the medial portion of the Heschel’s gyrus; Nb (40ms)/Pb1(52ms) to the lateral face of the supratemporal region; and Pb2 (74ms) to the antero-lateral portion of the Heschel’s gyrus\textsuperscript{21}.

It is then believed that these responses originate from the auditory and non-auditory primary cortical area, especially from the thalamic-cortical bundles\textsuperscript{12,15,22}. This region is fundamental in the transmission of auditory impulses to the cerebral cortex, where the sound message decoding process must happen. This decoding is essential for vocal discrimination and for human communication. This area also involves other cerebral systems, affecting other sensory and motor functions. This leads us to think that the recordings of these responses may become important as a means to assess and diagnose different neurological diseases. These statements serve as a basis for our study.

The clinical applicability of these potentials are found in many situations, such as, in the electrophysiological determination of auditory thresholds in the range of low frequencies\textsuperscript{15}, in assessing the functioning of the cochlear implant, in assessing auditory pathways functioning, in mapping neurological lesions and in intra-operative monitoring\textsuperscript{16}. These evoked potentials may be used to assess information processing\textsuperscript{17,18} or in patients with tinnitus\textsuperscript{20}, in relation to the auditory system.

In this study we noticed that these potentials make up an important tool for cerebral function evaluation, not only from the auditory standpoint, but also in patients with neurological disorders, as it has been shown in many studies with comatose patients or those who suffered head injuries, including prognosis,\textsuperscript{17,19,24,26-29}. These authors studied different neurological disorders showing the existing alterations in middle latency evoked auditory potential parameters.

It is paramount to understand auditory system maturation when one wishes to check the integrity of the central auditory pathways\textsuperscript{23}.

In this study we grouped neurological disorders of different etiologies and we compared the results attained from the normal group previously assessed in our service\textsuperscript{20}.

Through statistical comparison among the results found (deflection latencies Po, Na, Pa, Nb, Pb and the Na-Pa interval) in the groups of neurological disorders and the normal group, previously standardized, it was established that the mean values are significantly higher in the first group. The most significant statistical differences were mainly related to the Pa, Nb and Pb deflections.

By crossing the values obtained from the recordings of each cerebral hemisphere, with auditory stimulation in each ear, respectively, we did not find statistically significant differences as to the site of response capture, and this allows the exam to be carried out without the concern of where the electrodes will be placed.

We can see that the recording of these potentials provide a reliable basis for the assessment of dysfunctions or even lesions in the central nervous system. In this study we did not sequentially follow up the patients, therefore
there are no grounds in order to assess prognosis.

During the exams, we concurrently captured the middle latency auditory evoked responses and also the short latency auditory evoked responses. At this time, we did no analyze these results comparatively. Notwithstanding, this facility makes this exam an extraordinary tool of great importance in daily clinical practice.

There was no concern in specifically analyzing the characteristics of each disorder separately. The goal of the study was to analyze the results obtained and the alterations seen in the whole set of diseases present in the patients examined. This is important, considering the different diseases that may cause diffuse alterations in the structure and functioning of the cerebral tissue.

The investigation of these middle latency potentials presents a great study possibility from the auditory and neurological standpoint. It allows us to perform an electrophysiological assessment of the subjects hearing level, and also provides for the identification of the possible lesion side, in the case of neurological disorders.

CONCLUSIONS

In our sample of patients with neurological disorders, in relation to the deflections generated by the middle latency auditory potentials, we concluded that:

1. Almost all the recordings were captures, there was suppression in only a few of them.
2. The maximum and middle values of their latency periods, as well as the Na-Pa intervals, were significantly increased when compared to the ones obtained from a normal standardized population in our settings.
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