ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Newborn and infant hearing health education for nursing professionals

Camila Padilha Barbosa¹, Juliana Barbosa Aires², Isabela Yasmin dos Santos Farias², Francisca Márcia Pereira Linhares³, Silvana Maria Sobral Griz⁴

Keywords:

health education; hearing; nursing.

Abstract

Plearing loss is a sensory deprivation, which can brings several consequences, among them: language development delay, emotional and social problems, and school difficulties. In such settings, we stress the role of nursing professional, who can expand their knowledge about children's hearing health, all the way from pre-natal care.

Objective: To check the knowledge of nursing professional after the educational actions on pediatric hearing.

Method: A quasi-experimental design with time-series outline. We had 82 nursing professional participating (nurses, technicians and assistants) working in a university hospital between March and September of 2011. All of the interviewees answered a semi-structured questionnaire before and after the educational actions.

Results: We observed a significant change in the knowledge of the nursing professionals after the educational activity in most of the variables; such as: ideal age to perform the newborn hearing screening; ideal age to diagnose hearing loss; ideal age to start intervention against hearing loss and risk indicators for hearing loss.

Conclusion: It is believed that the methodology used in educational activities, based on problems found in professional practice, education may have contributed greatly to increase knowledge about hearing health, especially concerning neonates and infants.

¹ MSc in Nursing - Federal University of Pernambuco - UFPE. Speech and Hearing Therapist.

² Nursing Student - Federal University of Pernambuco - UFPE.

and accepted on December 01, 2012. cod. 10404.

 ³ PhD in Nutrition - Federal University of Pernambuco - UFPE. Professor at the Department of Nursing - UFPE.
 ⁴ PhD in Cognitive Psychology - Federal University of Pernambuco - UFPE. Professor at the Graduate Program in Human Communication Health.
 Send correspondence to: Camila Padilha Barbosa. Rua Cônego Luiz Gonzaga do Monte, nº 88. Iputinga. Recife - PE. Brazil. CEP: 50670-620.
 Paper submitted to the BJORL-SGP (Publishing Management System - Brazilian Journal of Otorhinolaryngology) on August 21, 2012;

INTRODUCTION

Hearing is characterized as a fundamental condition for oral language development. Therefore, it is closely related to human communication¹. Hearing loss is a sensorial deprivation and, among its consequences, we may list: language development delays; cognitive, social/emotional and school performance problems². This makes hearing loss be considered as a public health problem, requiring greater attention from society, from the government and from healthcare professionals¹, because late diagnosis may bring about losses which impact on the child's global development³.

Hearing health promotion is the first step of a Children Auditory Health Program (CAHP) which must be started already in pre-natal care. One important stage in these programs is the Neonatal Hearing Screening (NHS), which allows for the detection of possible auditory changes in neonates and infants⁴. Not least important are the health educational actions which may expand the knowledge of healthcare professionals and users on the topic. Such actions aim at contributing to the identification of auditory changes as soon as possible, as well as for the intervention at the ideal time, in other words, by the sixth month of life^{4,5}.

In these settings, we highlight nursing professionals, who may act as multipliers of knowledge on children auditory health⁶. For such, these professionals must learn through continuing learning processes and be ready to assist and provide the population with full health support by means of health education actions⁷.

Paulo Freire's methodology proposes the conceptualization of educational actions from its practice⁸, in order to change it, improving it, correcting mistakes, or even completely changing certain practices⁹. In these initiatives, activities are proposed to involve the continuous process of education, enabling the instructor to perceive reality and change it, given its relationship with the environment¹⁰.

Since there is a need for greater disclosure about children auditory health and be able to work with the theme, based on Paulo Freire's problematic, this study aimed at checking the knowledge of nursing professionals after educational programs on children auditory health.

METHOD

This is a quasi-experimental study with a temporal-series outline. We had 82 nursing professionals (RNs, technicians and assistants) participating from a university hospital, who worked in the puericulture, pediatrics, neonatal intensive care wards, maternity and obstetric center, between March and September of 2011. The inclusion criterion to participate in the study was to be present in at least three of the meetings proposed for the educational initiatives.

Data collection was carried out at three moments: (1) Initial questionnaire deployment - in this stage, the Nursing Professionals answered a semi-structured questionnaire; (2) Educational Programs - Based on the information collected in the questionnaire, we developed themes approached in the educational actions based on the precepts of Paulo Freire's methodology, which core is associated with the problematic as a starting point¹¹. We had four meetings to discuss the topic of pediatric auditory health, focusing on neonates and infants, led by a speech and hearing therapist. These meetings lasted for one hour on average, and were held every 30 days, in average. The content was presented as slide projections, video presentations, group dynamics and rounds of conversation, approached as problematic, analyzed and interpreted, resulting on the creation/recreation of knowledge, in a dialogical relationship between the researcher and the nursing professional. Based on what was approached in meetings 1, 2 and 3, we developed an educational folder, distributed to the participants in the last meeting, representing an educational support material for them, besides enabling the nursing professional to use it in their practice and (3) Questionnaire Redeployment - this stage was carried out at the end of the fourth meeting, when the same semi-structured questionnaire was redeployed.

The data was entered in a database, in the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software, version 13.0. In data analysis we calculated the percentage frequencies for the variables studied and we built the contingency tables of interest. In order to assess the numerous factors studied, we used the Chi-square test for independence. On the tables in which the Chi-square test assumptions were not met, we used the Fisher's exact test. To assess the professionals' levels of knowledge before and after the educational programs, we used the Chi-square test for homogeneity; and we used the Chi-square test for proportion in comparing the percentages of simple frequency distributions. In all the conclusions we considered a significance level of $p \le 0.05$. This study was approved by the Ethics in Research Committee, under protocol number 0130.0.172.000-10.

RESULTS

Among the nursing professionals who participated in this study (n = 82), 80 were females and two were males, with ages between 21 and 63 years (mean of 41.6 years). As far as education is concerned, 52.4% (n = 43) had higher education, with graduation time and professional carrier time of less than 20 years - 58.5% (n = 48) and 56.1% (n = 46), respectively. Most nursing professionals (84.1%, n = 69) stated they did not receive information concerning auditory health during their professional training.

We stress that: (a) 35.4% (n = 29) worked as a nurse, (b) 26.8% (n = 22) of the nursing professionals worked in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) and (c) 97.6% (n = 80) worked only in a public hospital (Table 1).

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of nursing professionals. Recife, 2011.

Demographic variables	n	%
Hospital role		
Nurse	29	35.4
Nurse technician	28	34.1
Nurse assistant	25	30.5
Hospital department		
NICU	22	26.8
Obstetrical center	19	23.2
Pediatrics	18	22.6
Joint quarters	17	20.7
Residents	6	7.3
Workplace		
Public hospital only	80	97.6
Public and private hospital	2	2.4
Total	82	100.0

We can observe a change in the knowledge of nursing professionals after the educational program in different variables. In regards of the knowledge of nursing professionals as to the need to assess the hearing of neonates and infants with/without a hearing loss risk indicator (HLRI) and as to the knowledge about which hospitals of the region do the NHS (neonatal hearing screening), we noticed that there had been no significant change after the educational program. It was not possible to do the significance statistical test for the analysis of the knowledge of the nurses on the need to assess the hearing of neonates and infants with HLRI, since they all reported that it was relevant to investigate the hearing of this population, before and after the educational initiative (Table 2).

Most nursing professionals reported that the first month of life is ideal to do the NHS, before (76.8%, n = 63) and after (96.3%, n = 79) the educational program (Table 3).

On Table 4, we notice a significant increase on the answers regarding the tests which assess hearing: transient otoacoustic emissions (TOE) of 59.8% (n = 49) to 96.3% (n = 79); tonal audiometry of 46.3% (n = 38) to 86.6% (n = 71); Brainstem Auditory Evoked Potential (BAEP) of 3.7% (n = 3) to 64.6% (n = 53) and immittance measuring of 1.2% (n = 1) to 26.8% (n = 22).

Concerning the conduct of such professional regarding neonates and infants under risk for hearing loss, there was a difference on the answers regarding: the need to refer neonates and infants to NHS of 28.0% (n = 23) to

35.4% (n = 29); refer to a specialist: from 20.7% (n = 17) to 14.6% (n = 12); not knowing what to do (17.1%, n = 14) to educate the parents concerning NHS (29.3%, n = 24).

DISCUSSION

The attitudes and influence of nursing professionals on a given population is impressive¹². This makes them need to be educated on specific themes, through educational programs which make a problematic of its practice. Such programs empower them with knowledge coming from other areas, such as hearing health¹³.

This study's sample was made up mainly of females. This is a profession's trait which reflects socio-historical aspects¹⁴, since nursing came up simultaneously to homecare of children, elderly and sick people, associated with a woman-mother figure who played the role of a healer, having informal knowledge on health practices, passed on from woman to woman. Male nurses take on more administrative and political roles than healthcare roles¹⁵ and, when working with healthcare, they prefer emergency or intensive care, places with technological progresses and appreciation for a multiprofessional teamwork.

Although 52.4% of the participants in this study had higher education - 64.6% worked in middle-level roles - as nursing technicians or assistants. It is common for nursing professionals to start their professional lives in middle level programs, even with the possibility of moving on to higher education¹⁶. The growth of university-based nursing programs¹⁷ has also contributed to this.

Thus, children auditory health themes must be added to the training of nurses, as we consider the attitudes and influence of nursing professionals on the people they work with¹². These professionals may help in the development of NHS programs. Notwithstanding, most of the participants (84.1%) reported they did not receive information on hearing health during their training, even when 58.5% had concluded their training in the past 20 years - a time when recommendations on children hearing health, especially that of neonates and infants has intensified^{4,18}.

Despite the fact that all nursing professionals who work with pregnant women, parturients, neonates and infants need knowledge on children auditory health¹⁹, we stress the participation of those who worked in the NICU, because of the high incidence of hearing loss in neonates who spend time at the NICU¹.

Among the relevant aspects associated with children hearing health, we know that UNHS is recommended since 1994¹⁸, and this is a first step in the assessment, diagnosis and intervention when there is hearing loss. Ideally, it is recommended that the NHS should be carried out by the first month of life, the diagnosis by the third month of life and intervention must start by the sixth month of life^{4,5}.

Table 2. Nursing professionals' knowledge before and after the educational program. Recife, 2011.

Knowledge about	Before the program		After the program		Total		- n_value	
Knowledge about	n	%	n	%	n	%	— p-value	
Hearing assessment without HLRI								
Yes	78	95.1	80	97.6	158	96.3	0.681 ²	
No	4	4.9	2	2.4	6	3.7	0.061	
Hearing assessment with HLRI								
Yes	82	100.0	82	100.0	164	100.0		
No	0	-	0	-	0	-	-	
Ideal age to perform NHS								
Yes	63	76.8	79	96.3	142	86.6	< 0.001	
No	19	23.2	3	3.7	22	13.4	< 0.001	
Ideal age to diagnose the hearing loss								
Yes	18	22.0	57	69.5	75	45.7	. 0.004	
No	64	78.0	25	30.5	89	54.2	< 0.001	
Ideal age to start the intervention								
Yes	15	18.3	59	71.9	74	45.1	. 0 004	
No	67	81.7	23	28.1	90	54.8	< 0.001	
Hearing loss consequences								
Yes	72	87.8	81	98.8	153	93.3	0.004	
No	10	12.2	1	1.2	11	6.7	0.004	
Hearing loss prevalence								
Yes	0	-	60	73.2	60	36.6		
No	82	100.0	22	26.8	104	63.4	< 0.001	
Hearing loss types								
Yes	1	1.2	74	90.2	75	45.7	. 0 004	
No	81	98.8	8	9.8	89	54.3	< 0.001	
Hearing loss levels								
Yes	4	4.9	71	86.6	75	45.7	0.004	
No	78	95.1	11	13.4	89	54.2	< 0.001	
Hearing loss risk indicators								
Yes	53	64.6	81	98.8	134	81.7		
No	29	35.4	1	1.2	30	18.2	< 0.001	
ocioeconomic and demographic factors								
Yes	71	86.6	80	97.6	151	92.1		
No	11	13.4	2	2.4	13	7.9	0.009	
Hearing assessment tests								
Yes	69	84.1	82	100.0	151	92.1		
No	13	15.9	0	-	13	7.9	< 0.001	
Professionals who do the NHS								
Yes	70	85.4	82	100.0	152	92.7		
No	12	14.6	0	0.0	12	7.3	< 0.001	
Hospitals that do the NHS								
Yes	78	95.1	82	100.0	160	97.5		
No	4	4.9	0	0.0	4	2.4	0.120 ²	
Total	82	100.0	82	100.0	164	100.0		

 $^{^{1}}$ p-value from the Chi-square test for homogeneity; 2 p-value from the Fisher's exact test; * Statistically significant values ($p \le 0.05$).

Table 3. Knowledge of nursing professional about: ideal age to perform the Neonatal Hearing Screening, hearing loss diagnosis and intervention, before and after the educational program. Recife, 2011.

Knowledge	Before the educational program		After the educational program		Total		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	p-value
Ideal age to be submitted to Neonatal Hearing Screening							
By the first month	64	78.1	79	96.3	143	87.2	
By the third month	12	14.6	3	3.7	15	9.2	
By the sixth month	4	4.9	0	-	4	2.4	0.0011
From 1 year	1	1.2	0	-	1	0.6	
Does not know	1	1.2	0	-	1	0.6	
Ideal age to diagnose the hearing loss							
By the first month	27	32.9	17	20.7	44	26.9	
By the third month	18	22.0	57	69.5	75	45.7	
By the sixth month	17	20.7	6	7.3	23	14.0	< 0.001
From 1 year	19	23.7	2	2.4	21	12.8	
Does not know	1	1.2	0	-	1	0.6	
Ideal age to start intervention							
By the first month	14	17.1	4	4.9	18	11.0	
By the third month	7	8.5	9	11.0	16	9.8	
By the sixth month	15	18.3	68	82.9	83	50.6	< 0.001
From 1 year	43	52.4	1	1.2	44	26.8	
Does not know	3	3.7	0	-	3	1.8	
Total	82	100.0	82	100.0	164	100.0	

¹ Statistically significant values ($p \le 0.05$) - Fisher's exact test.

Table 4. Nursing professional knowledge on the tests which help in hearing assessment, before and after the educational program. Recife, 2011.

Knowledge	Before the educ	efore the educational program		After the educational program		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	- p-value
Neonatal Hearing Screening							
Yes	49	59.8	79	96.3	128	78.0	
No	20	24.4	3	3.7	23	14.0	< 0.0011
Does not know	13	15.8	0	-	13	8.0	
Tonal audiometry							
Yes	38	46.3	71	86.6	109	66.5	
No	31	37.8	11	13.4	42	25.6	< 0.001
Does not know	13	15.8	0	-	13	8.0	
BAEP							
Yes	3	3.7	53	64.6	56	34.1	
No	66	80.5	29	35.4	95	57.9	< 0.001 ¹
Does not know	13	15.8	0	-	13	8.0	
Immittance measuring							
Yes	1	1.2	22	26.8	23	14.0	
No	68	82.9	60	73.2	128	78.0	< 0.0011
Does not know	13	15.8	0	-	13	8.0	
Total	82	100.0	82	100.0	164	100.0	

 $^{^{1}}$ Statistically significant values ($p \le 0.05$) - Chi-squared test for homogeneity.

The results from this study have shown that educational programs were positive, changing the level of knowledge of the professionals. As to the NHS, most of the participants (78.1%) reported it was ideal by the first month of life, even before the educational programs. Their knowledge expanded after they participated in the educational meetings (96.3%). The partnership between the nursing professionals and the NHS service may favor the effectiveness of these programs, since nursing is a *habitué* profession in other types of neonatal screenings, fostering the increase in coverage and the number of exams²⁰. We stress that the work of nurses, in general, is closer to mothers and neonates, in their hospital stay, being able to provide parents with information and procedures to do the NHS²¹.

As to the ideal age to perform the audiological diagnosis and the ideal age to start intervention, we noticed that, in the beginning, the interviewees did not know when the ideal time for intervention was. This lack of knowledge may lead to a late diagnosis of hearing loss and, consequently, late intervention. Thus, there is no question about the need for information. Even before the educational programs most of the interviewees reported knowing about the consequences of hearing loss.

It is estimated that neonatal hearing loss prevalence is approximately 1 to 6:1,000 births, being higher than in newborns coming from the NICU¹. Despite this fact, we noticed that before the educational program, 100% of the professional who worked in the NICU stated they were unaware of such information. This result was changed at the end of the educational program, when 73.2% of the nursing professionals were aware of the hearing loss prevalence in the general population and in the population who were under HLRI. This knowledge may expand and change conducts with the neonate, as per approached in another study²².

Knowledge on the type and degree of hearing loss is also convenient in order to associate such factors to the etiology of hearing loss and its consequences. Although this knowledge is specific for the professionals who work with hearing, this aspect enables healthcare professionals to have a different look on children who bear certain etiologies associated with hearing loss with specific levels and types. As a result, the expectation is to minimize the losses in oral language development²³.

The lack of information on children hearing health during the education of nursing professionals and the separation between teaching and practice may corroborate the lack of knowledge about HLRI¹⁹. In this study, we observed that over 60% (64.6%) of the nursing team knew about some HLRI. After the educational program, this knowledge was expanded, being mentioned by 98.8% of the participants. However, even before the educational program, most (95.1%) agreed that it was relevant to

investigate hearing independently from the presence of risk indicators, and such knowledge changed to 97.6% after the educational program.

Other risk indicators that may impact health are socioeconomic and demographics. Since the definition of health is broad²⁴, to consider such aspects is fundamental to assess the risks to which a population is exposed²⁵. Before the educational program, nursing professionals had a broad understanding of health, mentioning some socioeconomic and demographic aspects as influential in healthcare. Thus, more attention must be given to these issues associated with NHS-results²⁶.

NHS involves TOAE and/or BAEP²⁷. Other tests are part of the necessary battery of tests used in audiological diagnosis. In the beginning of this study, 95.1% of the professionals stated knowing about NHS, but did not include it among the tests in the audiological exams battery. After the educational program, all the participants knew at least one of the tests used in the diagnosis. This change in knowledge may cause the nursing professionals to work in partnership with others from a PSAI team, especially the hearing and speech therapist, in regards of the ideal protocol for a given population²⁸. Besides knowing of the specific tests used in auditory detection and diagnosis, it is also important that the professionals be aware of which are the specialized services and to whom they must refer the families to²³.

At the end of this study, all the professionals who participated in the program could properly educate the families, strengthening the multidisciplinary work, and making it so that all the recommended stages for identification and intervention in the first months of life are complied with²⁹. Thus the importance of educational programs or continuing education, in order to foster better prognosis for pediatric auditory health.

This study may guide on the creation of continuing educational programs among healthcare professional concerning the auditory health of neonates and infants, aiming at intervention, started as soon as possible, thus minimizing the consequences of hearing loss on the individual's life and that of his/her family and the State.

In time, we suggest a future study with the redeployment of the questionnaire, six months after the end of the educational programs to check the knowledge acquired and added to practice. The setting up of a Family Counseling service may also be an interesting initiative, providing information and education, supported by hearing and speech therapists, since they are the healthcare professionals able to act in these full efforts to identify hearing loss as soon as possible.

CONCLUSION

Educational programs on the hearing health of neonates and infants have significantly changed the knowledge

of nursing professionals. The methodology utilized in the educational programs, based on problematizing daily life, may contribute to the increase of knowledge on the theme.

REFERENCES

- 1. Joint Committee on Infant Hearing; American Academy of Audiology; American Academy of Pediatrics; American Speech-Language-Hearing Association; Directors of Speech and Hearing Programs in State Health and Welfare Agencies. Year 2000 position statement: principles and guidelines for early hearing detection and intervention programs. Joint Committee on Infant Hearing, American Academy of Audiology, American Academy of Pediatrics, American Speech-Language-Hearing Association, and Directors of Speech and Hearing Programs in State Health and Welfare Agencies. Pediatrics. 2000;106(4):798-817.
- Dimatos OC, Ikino CMY, Philippi PA, Dimatos SC, Birck MS, Freitas PF, et al. Profile of Patients of the Auditory Health of the State of Santa Catarina Served at HU-UFSC. Int. Arch. Otorhinolaryngol. 2011;15(1):59-66.
- Gatto CI, Tochetto TM. Deficiência auditiva infantil: implicações e soluções. Rev CEFAC. 2007;9(1):110-5.
- American Academy of Pediatrics, Joint Committee on Infant Hearing. Year 2007 position statement: Principles and guidelines for early detection and intervention programs. Pediatrics. 2007;120(4):898-921.
- Soares CP, Marques LR, Flores NGC. Triagem auditiva neonatal: aplicabilidade clínica na rotina dos médicos pediatras neonatologistas. Rev CEFAC. 2008;10(1):110-6.
- 6. Andreatta CS, Kurz JC, Cardoso EAM, Moreira AGE. A importância da conscientização de puérperas sobre os primeiros cuidados prestados ao neonato em maternidades de SJC. In: XIV Encontro Latino Americano de Iniciação Científica [online].; 2011. São José dos Campos Anais eletrônicos [citado 2011 dez]. Disponível em: http://www.inice-pg.univap.br/cd/INIC_2011_futuro/anais/arquivos/0017_0445_01.pdf
- Carvalho SM, Luciano R, Eller MEIS, Souza KS, Savoldi NAM. Práticas educativas na promoção da amamentação e valorização da cultura: uma análise de experiência. Rev Pesq Cuid Fundam. online. 2010;2(Supl):793-96.
- Freire P. Pedagogia do Oprimido. 50ª ed. São Paulo: Paz e Terra; 2011. p.184.
- 9. Hartmann HR. Movimentos do pensamento educacional de Paulo Freire. Rev Prof Docente. 2002;2(5):27-47.
- Miranda KCL, Barroso MGT. A contribuição de Paulo Freire à prática e educação crítica em enfermagem. Rev Latino-Am Enfermagem. 2004;12(4):631-5.
- 11. Ceccim RB. A emergência da educação e ensino da saúde: interseções e intersetorialidades. Rev Cienc Saúde. 2008;1(1):9-23.
- Beck CLC, Gonzales RMB, Denardin JM, Trindade LL, Lautert L. A humanização na perspectiva dos trabalhadores de enfermagem. Texto Contexto - Enferm. 2007;16(3):503-10.

- 13. Coriolano MWL. Repercussões de uma intervenção educativa com agentes comunitários de saúde nas condições ambientais de domicílios de crianças asmáticas. [Dissertação]. Recife: Universidade Federal de Pernambuco; 2010.
- 14. Lopes MJM, Leal SMC. A feminização persistente na qualificação profissional da enfermagem brasileira. Cad Pagu. 2005;24:105-25.
- Lobiondo-Wood G, Haber J. Pesquisa em Enfermagem: Métodos, Avaliação Crítica e Utilização. 4a ed. São Paulo: Guanabara Koogan;2001. p.330.
- Bagnato MHS, Bassinello GAH, Lacaz CPC, Missio L. Ensino médio e educação profissionalizante em enfermagem: algumas reflexões. Rev Esc Enferm USP. 2007;41(2):279-86.
- Barbosa TSC, Baptista SS. Movimento de expansão dos cursos superiores de enfermagem na região centro-oeste do Brasil: uma perspectiva histórica. Rev Eletr Enfermagem. 2008;10(4):945-56.
- 18. Joint Committee on Infant Hearing 1994 Position Statement. American Academy of Pediatrics Joint Committee on Infant Hearing. Pediatrics. 1995;95(1):152-6.
- Hilú MRPB, Zeigelboim BS. O conhecimento, a valorização da triagem auditiva neonatal e a intervenção precoce da perda auditiva. Rev CEFAC. 2007;9(4):563-70.
- Dámaso Ortíz B. Participación del personal de enfermería en la toma de tamiz neonatal para la detección de hipotiroidismo congénito. Bol Méd Hosp Infant Méx. 2001;58(11):755-61.
- Munhoz SEM. Um programa de triagem auditiva neonatal: efetividade e ações educativas [Dissertação]. Piracicaba: Universidade Metodista de Piracicaba; 2007.
- Weich TM, Ourique AC, Tochetto TM, Franceschi CM. Eficácia de um programa para redução de ruído em unidade de terapia intensiva neonatal. Rev Bras Ter Intensiva. 2011;23(3):327-34.
- Melo TM, Alvarenga KF. Capacitação de profissionais da saúde na área de saúde auditiva: revisão sistemática. Rev Soc Bras Fonoaudiol. 2009;14(2):280-6.
- Degani GC, Camelo SHH. Percepções dos enfermeiros de unidades de saúde da família sobre o processo saúde-doença. Ciênc Cuid Saúde. 2009;8(2):213-9.
- Lima MLLT, Assis ABR, Mercês GB, Barros PF, Griz SMS. Triagem auditiva: perfil socioeconômico de mãe. Rev CEFAC. 2008;10(2):254-60.
- 26. Griz SMS, Barbosa CP, Silva ARA, Ribeiro MA, Menezes DC. Aspectos demográficos e socioeconômicos de mães atendidas em um programa de triagem auditiva neonatal. Rev Soc Bras Fonoaudiol. 2010;15(2):179-83.
- Comitê Brasileiro sobre Perdas Auditivas na Infância CBPAI. Recomendação 01/99. Jornal do Conselho Federal de Fonoaudiologia. Brasília: CBPAI. 2000;(5):3-7.
- Muniz L, Caldas Neto SS, Gouveia MCL, Albuquerque M, Aragão A, Mercês G, et al. The knowledge of gynecologists and pediatricians from Recife public hospitals about high risk factors for deafness. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2010;76(4):510-6.
- Alvarenga KF, Bevilacqua MC, Melo TM, Lopes AC, Moret ALM. Participação das famílias em Programas de Saúde Auditiva: um estudo descritivo. Rev Soc Bras Fonoaudiol. 2011;16(1):49-53.