



Brazilian Journal of OTORHINOLARYNGOLOGY

www.bjorl.org



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Bacteriology of peritonsillar abscess: the changing trend and predisposing factors[☆]



Yi-Wen Tsai^a, Yu-Hsi Liu^b, Hsing-Hao Su^{b,c,*}

^a Kaohsiung Veterans General Hospital, Department of Medical Education and Research, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

^b Kaohsiung Veterans General Hospital, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

^c Tajen University, Department of Pharmacy and Graduate Institute of Pharmaceutical Technology, Pingtung, Taiwan

Received 1 May 2017; accepted 16 June 2017

Available online 17 July 2017

KEYWORDS

Anaerobic bacteria;
Bacterial infections;
Klebsiella pneumoniae;
Peritonsillar abscess;
Viridans streptococci

Abstract

Introduction: Peritonsillar abscess is the most common deep neck infection. The infectious microorganism may be different according to clinical factors.

Objective: To identify the major causative pathogen of peritonsillar abscess and investigate the relationship between the causative pathogen, host clinical factors, and hospitalization duration.

Methods: This retrospective study included 415 hospitalized patients diagnosed with peritonsillar abscess who were admitted to a tertiary medical center from June 1990 to June 2013. We collected data by chart review and analyzed variables such as demographic characteristics, underlying systemic disease, smoking, alcoholism, betel nut chewing, bacteriology, and hospitalization duration.

Results: A total of 168 patients had positive results for pathogen isolation. *Streptococcus viridans* (28.57%) and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (23.21%) were the most common microorganisms identified through pus culturing. The isolation rate of anaerobes increased to 49.35% in the recent 6 years ($p=0.048$). Common anaerobes were *Prevotella* and *Fusobacterium* spp. The identification of *K. pneumoniae* increased among elderly patients (age > 65 years) with an odds ratio (OR) of 2.76 ($p=0.03$), and decreased in the hot season (mean temperature > 26 °C) (OR = 0.49, $p=0.04$). No specific microorganism was associated with prolonged hospital stay.

Conclusion: The most common pathogen identified through pus culturing was *S. viridans*, followed by *K. pneumoniae*. The identification of anaerobes was shown to increase in recent years. The antibiotics initially selected should be effective against both aerobes and anaerobes. Bacterial identification may be associated with host clinical factors and environmental factors.

© 2017 Associação Brasileira de Otorrinolaringologia e Cirurgia Cérvico-Facial. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

[☆] Please cite this article as: Tsai Y-W, Liu Y-H, Su H-H. Bacteriology of peritonsillar abscess: the changing trend and predisposing factors. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2018;84:532–39.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail: shsu@vghks.gov.tw (H. Su).

Peer Review under the responsibility of Associação Brasileira de Otorrinolaringologia e Cirurgia Cérvico-Facial.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjorl.2017.06.007>

1808-8694/© 2017 Associação Brasileira de Otorrinolaringologia e Cirurgia Cérvico-Facial. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

PALAVRAS-CHAVE

Bactérias
anaeróbicas;
Infecções
bacterianas;
*Klebsiella
pneumoniae*;
Abscesso peritonsilar;
Viridans streptococci

Bacteriologia do abscesso peritonsilar: tendência de mudança e fatores predisponentes**Resumo**

Introdução: O Abscesso Peritonsilar é a infecção cervical profunda mais comum. O microrganismo infeccioso pode ser diferente de acordo com os fatores clínicos.

Objetivo: Identificar o principal agente causador do abscesso peritonsilar e investigar a relação entre o patógeno causador, os fatores clínicos do hospedeiro e a duração da hospitalização.

Método: Este estudo retrospectivo incluiu 415 pacientes hospitalizados diagnosticados com abscesso peritonsilar que foram internados em um centro médico terciário de junho de 1990 a junho de 2013. Coletamos dados através da análise dos arquivos médicos dos pacientes e analisamos variáveis como características demográficas, doença sistêmica subjacente, tabagismo, alcoolismo, hábito de mascar noz de betel, bacteriologia e duração da hospitalização.

Resultados: Um total de 168 pacientes apresentaram resultados positivos para isolamento de patógenos. *Streptococcus viridans* (28,57%) e *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (23,21%) foram os microrganismos mais comuns identificados pela cultura da secreção. A taxa de isolamento de anaeróbios aumentou para 49,35% nos últimos 6 anos ($p=0,048$). Os anaeróbios comuns foram *Prevotella* e *Fusobacterium spp.* A identificação de *K. pneumoniae* aumentou em pacientes idosos (idade > 65 anos) com razão de chances (Odds Ratio - OR) de 2,76 ($p=0,03$) e diminuiu na estação do calor (temperatura média > 26 °C) (OR=0,49, $p=0,04$). Nenhum microrganismo específico foi associado à hospitalização prolongada.

Conclusão: O patógeno mais comumente identificado através da cultura de secreção foi *S. viridans*, seguido por *K. pneumoniae*. A identificação de anaeróbios mostrou ter aumentado nos últimos anos. Os antibióticos selecionados inicialmente devem ser efetivos contra aeróbios e anaeróbios. A identificação bacteriana pode estar associada a fatores clínicos e fatores ambientais do hospedeiro.

© 2017 Associação Brasileira de Otorrinolaringologia e Cirurgia Cérvico-Facial. Publicado por Elsevier Editora Ltda. Este é um artigo Open Access sob uma licença CC BY (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Introduction

Peritonsillar abscess (PTA), or quinsy, is the most common deep neck infection.¹ The abscess may spread into the parapharyngeal space of other deep neck spaces, to the adjacent structure, and to the bloodstream. It rarely occurs but PTA is potentially life threatening. Early diagnosis of PTA is extremely crucial, and appropriate antibiotics and surgical intervention to remove the abscess are required.² Antibiotics result in a substantial reduction in the progression of this disease. The empirical antibiotic used should be effective against the possible causative pathogen of PTA.

Our objectives were to investigate the microbiology of PTA and to identify its relationship with clinical variables including the underlying systemic disease of patients; habits such as smoking, alcoholism, and betel nut chewing; and hospitalization duration.

Methods**Study design and sample population**

This retrospective study included 415 patients with PTA who were admitted to a tertiary medical center located in Southern Taiwan from June 1990 to June 2013. Inclusion criteria were hospitalized patients who were clinically diagnosed with PTA (ICD-9 code 475) by positive pus aspiration or computed tomography (CT) imaging. We reviewed the

chart of each patient to collect the following data: admission date, age, sex, height, weight, host clinical factors (diabetes mellitus [DM], hypertension, smoking habit, alcoholism, and betel nut chewing), pus culture result, antibiotic treatment, surgery, and hospitalization duration. The study was approved by the institutional review board.

We classified the bacteria into different categories according to the characteristics of Gram staining and anaerobic properties. We defined prolonged hospitalization as hospitalization duration of more than 6 days. Obesity was defined as a body mass index of more than 27, and elderly patients were defined as those aged older than 65 years. We defined the hot season as the months from May to October when the average temperature in Southern Taiwan was above 26 °C according to the record of the Central Weather Bureau of R.O.C.

Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed using the SPSS statistical software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA), except for the Cochran–Armitage test, which was performed using the SAS program (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). The association with each independent variable was statistically analyzed among the different groups. Categorical variables were compared using the Pearson's Chi-square test or the Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. Odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. Trends of isolated pathogens

Table 1 Demographic characteristics of patients with peritonsillar abscess.

Age	Overall, (n = 266)	Diabetes mellitus	Smoking	Alcoholism	Betel-nut chewing
<18 y/o	22 (8.27)	0	6 (2.27)	5 (2.73)	2 (9.09)
18–64 y/o	215 (80.83)	19 (8.84)	111 (51.63)	76 (35.35)	37 (17.21)
≥65 y/o	29 (10.90)	4 (13.79)	5 (17.24)	7 (24.14)	3 (10.34)
Total	266 (100)	23 (8.65)	122 (45.86)	88 (33.08)	42 (15.79)

Data are presented as n (%).

y/o indicates "year old".

Table 2 Bacteriology of 168 patients with peritonsillar abscess with definite isolation of pus culture.

Causative pathogen	Overall (n = 168)	DM (n = 17)	HTN (n = 22)	Smoking (n = 78)	Alcoholism (n = 59)	Betel-Nut chewing (n = 27)	Obesity (n = 30)
Aerobic GNB	51 (30.36)	10 (58.82)	8 (36.36)	22 (28.21)	15 (25.42)	8 (29.63)	8 (26.67)
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	39 (23.21)	7 (41.18)	7 (31.82)	19 (24.36)	13 (22.03)	7 (25.93)	7 (23.33)
Aerobic GPB	22 (13.10)	10 (58.82)	5 (22.73)	12 (15.38)	11 (18.64)	4 (14.81)	6 (20.00)
Aerobic GNC	4 (2.38)	1 (5.88)	1 (4.55)	1 (1.28)	1 (1.69)	1 (3.70)	2 (6.67)
Aerobic GPC	99 (58.93)	10 (58.82)	12 (54.55)	46 (58.97)	32 (61.02)	21 (77.78)	19 (63.33)
<i>Staphylococcus</i> spp.	9 (5.36)	0 (0.00)	1 (4.55)	5 (4.6)	5 (8.47)	1 (3.70)	2 (6.67)
Beta-hemolytic <i>streptococcus</i> group	18 (10.71)	1 (5.88)	1 (4.55)	15 (19.23)	10 (16.95)	4 (14.81)	4 (13.33)
<i>Streptococcus</i> melliri group	24 (14.29)	4 (23.53)	4 (18.18)	10 (12.82)	8 (13.56)	9 (33.33)	4 (13.33)
<i>Streptococcus viridans</i> group	48 (28.57)	5 (29.41)	7 (31.82)	16 (20.51)	13 (22.03)	7 (25.93)	7 (23.33)
Anaerobic cocci	34 (20.23)	5 (29.41)	5 (22.73)	17 (21.79)	13 (22.03)	7 (25.93)	11 (36.67)
<i>Peptostreptococcus</i> spp.	9 (5.36)	1 (5.88)	1 (4.55)	7 (8.97)	6 (10.17)	3 (11.11)	4 (13.33)
Anaerobic GPB	14 (8.33)	1 (5.88)	5 (22.73)	5 (6.41)	4 (6.78)	2 (7.41)	3 (10.00)
Anaerobic GNB	45 (26.79)	1 (5.88)	6 (27.27)	24 (30.77)	18 (30.51)	7 (25.93)	6 (20.00)
<i>Fusobacterium</i> spp.	17 (10.12)	0 (0.00)	1 (4.55)	10 (12.82)	6 (10.17)	3 (11.11)	2 (6.67)
<i>Prevotella</i> spp.	24 (14.29)	1 (5.88)	4 (18.18)	11 (14.10)	10 (16.95)	4 (18.18)	4 (13.33)

Data are presented as n (%).

Aerobic isolates included aerobic and facultative anaerobic isolates.

DM, diabetes mellitus; GNB, gram-negative bacilli; GNC, gram-negative cocci; GPB, gram-positive bacilli; GPC, gram-positive cocci; HTN, hypertension.

Table 3 Isolation rate of different types of bacteria during each 6 year interval, 1990–2013.

Years, number of patient types (%) of bacteria	1990–1995	1996–2001	2002–2007	2008–2013	Total (1990–2013)	Test for trends (p-value)
Gram positive (% of total patient)	4 (100)	12 (57.14)	41 (62.12)	40 (51.95)	97 (57.74)	0.120
Gram negative (% of total patient)	1 (25)	10 (47.62)	32 (48.48)	38 (49.35)	81 (48.21)	0.569
Anaerobes (% of total patient)	1 (25)	5 (23.81)	30 (45.45)	38 (49.35)	74 (44.05)	0.048 ^a
Patient	4	21	66	77	168	

^a Denotes for p-value less than 0.05.

Table 4 Association between the predisposing factors and the pathogen.^a

Predisposing factors	Causative pathogen	OR	95% CI	p-value
Elder ^b	KP	2.76	1.10–6.93	0.03 ^e
Obesity ^c	<i>Peptostreptococcus</i>	4.19	0.98–17.88	0.04 ^e
Hot season ^d	GPB	3.22	1.13–9.19	0.02 ^e
	KP	0.49	0.23–1.01	0.04 ^e
Betel-Nut chewing	GPC	2.67	1.02–7.02	0.04 ^e

^a No statistically difference was observed among bacterial isolates and smoking, alcoholism, and DM.

^b Elderly indicates patient's age was more than 65 years old.

^c Obesity indicates patient's body mass index was more than 27.

^d Hot season indicates the admission date was between May and October, during which time the average temperature in southern Taiwan was more than 27 °C.

^e Denotes for p-value less than 0.05.

CI, confidence interval; DM, diabetes mellitus; GPB, gram-positive bacilli; GPC, gram-positive cocci; HTN, hypertension; KP, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*; OR, odds ratio.

were analyzed using the Cochran–Armitage test. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethic statement

This study has been approved by the Institutional Review Board; the approval protocol number is VGHKS14-CT7-01.

Results

Demographic characteristics

This study included 415 patients. The results of pus cultures from either surgery or needle aspiration were available for 266 patients. Adjustments for sample submitted to tonsil surgery or PTA drainage was performed, as shown in Table 1. There is no patient with history of AIDS or HIV infection in this study.

Bacteriology

Within these patients with pus obtained, 230 (230–266, 86.47%) showed bacterial growth in their pus culture. The pus culture of the remaining 36 patients showed no bacterial growth. Of the 230 patients, 132 (132–230, 57.39%) had polymicrobial pus, including 62 cases merely reported as “normal flora” or “mixed flora” (62–230, 26.96%). Pus cultures of 168 patients (168–266, 63.15%) showed positive results for pathogen isolation. More than a single pathogen was isolated in 64 patients (64–168, 38.10%). Aerobic bacteria were isolated from 85.7% (144/168) of positive cultures, anaerobic or facultative aerobic bacteria from 44.0% (74–168), and mixed aerobic and anaerobic bacteria from 29.8% (50–168).

The most common pathogen identified through pus culturing was *Streptococcus viridans* (48–168, 28.57%), followed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (39–168, 23.21%) and the beta-hemolytic *Streptococcus* group (17–168, 10.12%), as shown in Table 2. We divided patients by the 4 periods of 1990–1995, 1996–2001, 2002–2007, and 2008–2013; the isolation rate of the anaerobes was 25%, 23.81%, 45.45%, and 49.35%, respectively. The isolation rate of anaerobic pathogens increased significantly between 1990 and 2013 (Cochran–Armitage test, $p=0.048$), as shown in Table 3 and Fig. 1. The isolation rates of gram-positive bacteria and




Figure 1 Isolation rate of different types of bacteria during each 6 year interval.

gram-negative bacteria in these 4 periods were 100% and 25%, 57.14% and 47.62%, 62.12% and 48.48%, and 51.95% and 49.35%, respectively. Most of the anaerobic pathogens were *Prevotella* spp. (24–168, 14.29%) and *Fusobacterium* spp. (16–168, 9.52%), as shown in Table 2.

Host clinical factors were associated with several isolated pathogens. Betel nut chewing was associated with the isolation of gram-positive cocci (GPC) (OR=2.67, $p=0.04$). The association of bacterial isolation with smoking habit and alcoholism was not statistically significant. Elderly patients (age > 65 years) had higher *K. pneumoniae* isolation (OR=2.76, $p=0.03$). Obesity (BMI > 27) was associated with a higher isolation of *Peptostreptococcus* (OR=4.19, $p=0.04$), as shown in Table 4.

In addition, in the hot season, we found that the risk of isolating gram-positive bacilli (GPB) increased (OR=3.22, $p=0.02$), but that of *K. pneumoniae* isolation decreased (OR=0.49, $p=0.04$), as shown in Table 4. There was no specific microorganism associated with prolonged hospital stay.

Searching from the PubMed database, there were 30 studies involved in bacteriology of PTA during 1980–2016. The timeframes, the geographical locations and the predominant bacterial species identified in these studies were listed in Table 5.

Several broad-spectrum antibiotics such as penicillin or cefazolin combined with gentamycin (GM) and metronidazole, clindamycin plus GM, or augmentin along were used in our series. All these antibiotics were effective without any significant difference.

Table 5 Studies involved in bacteriology of PTA during 1980–2016.

Investigator	Country	Year	Positive culture	Predominant aerobes	Predominant anaerobes
Brook et al. (1981) ¹⁹	U.S.	–	16	<i>Gamma-hemolytic streptococci</i>	<i>Bacteroides</i> sp.
Jokipii et al. (1988) ⁸	Finland	–	42	<i>Alpha-hemolytic streptococci</i>	Anaerobic GPC
Brook et al. (1991) ³⁷	U.S.	1978–1985	34	Group A <i>streptococcus</i>	<i>Peptostreptococcus</i> sp.
Snow et al. (1991) ³⁸	UK	–	55	<i>Streptococcus viridans</i> group	<i>Bacteroides</i> sp.
Jousimies-Somer et al. (1993) ²⁰	Finland	–	122	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	<i>Bacteroides</i> sp.
				<i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i>	<i>Peptostreptococcus</i> sp.
				<i>Beta hemolytic streptococci</i>	–
				<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	<i>Fusobacterium necrophorum</i>
				<i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i>	<i>Prevotella melaninogenica</i>
				<i>Streptococcus milleri</i> group	<i>Peptostreptococcus</i> sp.
Mitchellmore et al. (1995) ⁹	UK	1982–1992	45	Group A <i>streptococcus</i>	<i>Prevotella</i>
Muir et al. (1995) ³⁹	New Zealand	1990–1992	39	Group A <i>streptococcus</i>	–
Prior et al. (1995) ⁴⁰	UK	–	45	–	–
Cherukuri (2002)	USA	1990–1999	82	<i>Streptococcus</i> sp.	–
Matsuda et al. (2002) ¹⁰	Japan	1988–1999	386	<i>Haemophilus</i> sp.	Anaerobic gram-negative rods
				<i>Alpha-hemolytic streptococci</i>	<i>Porphyromonas</i> sp.
				<i>Neisseria</i> sp.	<i>Bacteroides</i> sp.
Hanna et al. (2006) ⁴¹	Northern Ireland	2001–2002	37	Group A <i>streptococci</i>	–
Sakae et al. (2006) ⁴²	Brazil	2001	26	<i>Streptococcus viridans</i>	<i>Peptostreptococcus</i> sp.
Zagolski et al. (2007)	Poland	–	12	<i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i>	<i>Prevotella</i> sp.
Megalamani et al. (2008)	India	2003–2006	39	<i>Streptococcus</i> sp.	<i>Bacteroides</i> sp.
Sunnergren et al. (2008) ⁷	Sweden	2000–2006	67	Beta hemolytic <i>streptococcus</i>	–
Klug et al. (2009) ¹¹	Denmark	2001–2006	405	<i>Pseudomonas</i>	<i>Bacteroides</i> sp.
				Group A <i>streptococcus</i>	–
Gavriel et al. (2009) ⁶	Israel	1996–2002	137	Group A <i>streptococcus</i>	<i>Fusobacterium</i> sp.
Segal et al. (2009) ⁴	Israel	2004–2007	64	Groups C or G <i>streptococci</i>	<i>Prevotella</i> sp.
Repanos et al. (2009) ³²	UK	1998–2005	107	<i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i>	<i>Peptostreptococcus</i> sp.
Rusan et al. (2009)	Denmark	2001–2006	623	<i>Streptococcus intermedius</i>	–
Acharya et al. (2010) ⁵	Nepal	2007–2008	18	Group A <i>streptococcus</i>	–
Marom et al. (2010) ¹⁷	Canada	1998–2007	180	Group C <i>streptococcus</i>	–
Hidaka et al. (2011) ⁴³	Japan	2002–2007	65	<i>Streptococcal</i> sp.	–
Klug et al. (2011) ¹²	Denmark	2005–2009	36	Group A <i>streptococcus</i>	<i>Prevotella</i> sp.
				<i>Streptococcus milleri</i> group	<i>Peptostreptococcus</i> sp.
				Other <i>Streptococcus</i> sp.	<i>Prevotella</i> sp.
				<i>Streptococcus viridans</i>	<i>Fusobacterium</i> sp.
				<i>Neisseria</i> sp.	<i>Fusobacterium</i> sp.
Love et al. (2011) ¹³	New Zealand	2006–2008	147	Group A <i>streptococcus</i>	<i>Fusobacterium</i> sp.
Albertz et al. (2012) ¹⁶	Chile	2000–2012	112	Other <i>Streptococcus</i> sp.	<i>Bacteroides</i> sp.
				<i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i>	<i>Peptostreptococcus</i> sp.
				Other <i>streptococci</i>	<i>Fusobacterium</i> sp.
Takenaka et al. (2012) ³	Japan	2005–2009	50	<i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i>	Anaerobic <i>Streptococcus</i>
					<i>Fusobacterium</i> sp.

Table 5 (Continued)

Investigator	Country	Year	Positive culture	Predominant aerobes	Predominant anaerobes
Sowerby et al. (2013) ¹⁴	Canada	2009–2010	42	Group A <i>streptococcus</i> <i>Streptococcus anginosus</i>	–
Gavriel et al. (2015)	Israel	1996–2003	132	<i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i>	<i>Prevotella</i> sp. <i>Peptostreptococcus</i> sp.
Mazur et al. (2015) ¹⁸	Poland	2003–2013	45	<i>Streptococcus viridans</i> group <i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i>	<i>Fusobacterium</i> sp. <i>Prevotella</i> sp.
Plum et al. (2015) ⁴⁴	USA	2002–2012	69	<i>Streptococcus milleri</i> in adults β -hemolytic <i>streptococcus</i> in children	–
Lepelletier et al. (2016) ³⁶	French	2009–2012	412	Group A <i>streptococci</i>	<i>Fusobacterium</i> spp.
Tachibana et al. (2016) ⁴⁵	Japan	2008–213	100	<i>Streptococcus viridans</i>	<i>Fusobacterium</i> sp.
Vaikjarv et al. (2016) ⁴⁶	Estonia	2011–2012	22	<i>Streptococcus</i> sp.	<i>Streptococcus</i> spp.
Present study (2017)	Taiwan	1990–2013	168	<i>Streptococcus Viridans</i> <i>Klebsiella Pneumoniae</i>	<i>Prevotella</i> sp. <i>Fusobacterium</i> sp.

–, indicates “not disclosed”.

Discussion

In our study, the most common pathogen identified through pus culturing in patients with PTA was *S. viridans*, followed by *K. pneumoniae*; commonly isolated anaerobes in our study were *Prevotella* and *Fusobacterium* spp. We reviewed the bacteriology data from previous studies, as shown in Table 5. Most of the studies^{3–16} have reported group A *Streptococcus* as the most common aerobic pathogen in PTA; some studies^{12,17,18} have reported that common aerobic pathogens were *S. viridans*, followed by group A β -hemolytic *streptococci*. The prevalence of *K. pneumoniae* has been rarely reported in previous studies. In previous studies, *Fusobacterium nucleatum*,^{3,8,11,12,15,19,20} *Prevotella*,^{3,12,19–21} *Bacteroides*,^{7,8,19} *Peptostreptococcus*,^{8,9,20} and anaerobic *streptococcus*¹² were the most common anaerobic pathogens. The divergence of bacterial culture may be owing to different geographical location. With difference between diets and lifestyle, the bacterial flora within each people may be also different.

K. pneumoniae and *Streptococcus* spp. are common oral flora normally found in the mouth and are odontogenic pathogens of deep neck infection.^{22–24} The *S. viridans* group is the etiological agent of dental caries, pericoronitis, or, if introduced into the bloodstream, endocarditis. In Taiwan, *K. pneumoniae* has been linked to lung infection in aspiration patients or a liver abscess²⁵ in immunocompromised patients or those with diabetes.²⁶

Patients with old age²⁷ or diabetes mellitus²⁸ are considered to be immunocompromised and have more chance to get infection. DM and elder are also linked with more complications and higher mortality rate in deep neck infection.^{29,30} Thus PTA patients with above characteristics often have longer hospital stay.³⁰ We reported the microbiology of PTA in such immunocompromised patients. Patients with DM had no increased risk of isolating *K. pneumoniae* as the causative pathogen of PTA. By contrast, elderly patients with PTA in the current series had a higher risk of *K. pneumoniae* isolation.

A trend toward a higher isolation rate for anaerobes was observed during 2002–2013 ($p=0.048$). Gavriel⁶ reported a significant increase in anaerobic growth during 1996–1999 and then a slow nonsignificant decline until 2002. Takenaka³ reported no change in the percentage of cases with anaerobic growth between 2 periods (2005–2007 and 2008–2009). Such a phenomenon might result from a real change in pathogens; the alteration of antibiotics used, or improved culture methods for anaerobic pathogens. In our series, no major alteration of antibiotics used or improvement of the culture methods was observed. Physicians should prescribe empirical antibiotics to cover anaerobes.

PTA is often a polymicrobial infection. Polymicrobial growth was observed in the pus cultures of 57.39% of patients. The rationale of using empirical antibiotics was to cover GPCs, GNBs, and respiratory anaerobes. If necessary, suitable antibiotics should be chosen on the basis of culture results. However, the management of most uncomplicated patients may not be affected by the culture result.³¹ Repanos et al.³² suggested that using broad-spectrum antibiotics such as cephalosporin or penicillin combined with metronidazole was effective. In our study, no significant difference was found among several combinations of broad-spectrum antibiotics.

Smoking habit has been commonly observed in patients with PTA in several studies^{17,18,33,34}; these studies have reported smoking as a risk factor for PTA. Marom et al.¹⁷ reported a significantly higher incidence for *S. viridans*, other gram-positive cocci isolates, and anaerobes. In our study, no statistical significance was observed in the causative pathogen between smokers and nonsmokers with PTA, similar to the findings of the study by Klug.³⁴

Betel nut chewing is a popular habit in Southeast Asia. To the best of our knowledge, no study has found an association between the bacteriology of PTA and betel nut chewing. In our series, this habit was associated with a higher risk of GPC as a pathogen. In the study by Ling et al.,³⁵ it was associated with a likelihood of subgingival infection by *Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans* and *Porphyromonas gingivalis*.

In our study, elderly patients (older than 65 year-old) had a high risk of *K. pneumoniae* isolation. The study by Marom¹⁷ reported a significantly higher isolation rate for infection by GPC (mixed *Streptococcus* species) and gram-negative rods in older patients (40 year-old or older) than in younger patients.

The hot season increased the risk of GPB infection and reduced the risk of *K. pneumoniae* infection in patients with PTA in our current study. Our institute is located in a tropical region that has approximately six months (May to October) of hot weather, with a mean temperature of 27 °C. By contrast, Klug et al.¹⁵ from another institute located in a temperate zone reported a higher incidence of *F. nucleatum* infection during summer than during winter. It also reported Group A *streptococcus* was significantly more frequently identified from in the winter and spring. The study in French³⁶ reported PTA caused by *S. pyogenes* or anaerobes were more prevalent in the winter and spring than summer. Such fluctuation in the microbiology of PTA might be weather related.

In our series, no specific microorganism was associated with the poor prognosis of PTA. This finding is considerably similar to the reports by Marom¹⁷ and Mazur.¹⁸

Our study has several limitations. Because we retrospectively collected data by chart review, data from the medical record might be lost during the early years. As we used several small populations of isolated pathogens, a larger sample size is necessary to determine the relationship between the isolated pathogen and the predisposing factors.

Conclusions

The most common causative pathogen of PTA was *S. viridans*, followed by *K. pneumoniae*. The isolation of anaerobes significantly increased in recent years. The common ones were *Prevotella* and *Fusobacterium* spp. Empirical antibiotics targeting both aerobes and anaerobes should be appropriate as treatment. Bacterial isolation may be associated with host clinical factors, environmental factors, and hospitalization duration.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Professor Hsueh-Wen Chang (Department of Biological Sciences, National Sun Yat-sen University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan) for his help in the statistical analysis.

References

1. Galioto NJ. Peritonsillar abscess. *Am Fam Physician*. 2008;77:199–202.
2. Steyer TE. Peritonsillar abscess: diagnosis and treatment. *Am Fam Physician*. 2002;65:93–6.
3. Takenaka Y, Takeda K, Yoshii T, Hashimoto M, Inohara H. Gram staining for the treatment of peritonsillar abscess. *Int J Otolaryngol*. 2012;2012:1–5.
4. Segal N, El-Saied S, Puterman M. Peritonsillar abscess in children in the southern district of Israel. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol*. 2009;73:1148–50.
5. Acharya A, Gurung R, Khanal B, Ghimire A. Bacteriology and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of peritonsillar abscess. *JNMA J Nepal Med Assoc*. 2010;49:139–42.
6. Gavriel H, Lazarovitch T, Pomortsev A, Eviatar E. Variations in the microbiology of peritonsillar abscess. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2009;28:27–31.
7. Sunnergren O, Swanberg J, Molstad S. Incidence, microbiology and clinical history of peritonsillar abscesses. *Scand J Infect Dis*. 2008;40:752–5.
8. Jokipii AM, Jokipii L, Sipila P, Jokinen K. Semiquantitative culture results and pathogenic significance of obligate anaerobes in peritonsillar abscesses. *J Clin Microbiol*. 1988;26:957–61.
9. Mitchelmore IJ, Prior AJ, Montgomery PQ, Tabaqchali S. Microbiological features and pathogenesis of peritonsillar abscesses. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 1995;14:870–7.
10. Matsuda A, Tanaka H, Kanaya T, Kamata K, Hasegawa M. Peritonsillar abscess: a study of 724 cases in Japan. *Ear Nose Throat J*. 2002;81:384–9.
11. Ehlers Klug T, Rusan M, Fuursted K, Ovesen T. *Fusobacterium necrophorum*: most prevalent pathogen in peritonsillar abscess in Denmark. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2009;49:1467–72.
12. Klug TE, Henriksen JJ, Fuursted K, Ovesen T. Significant pathogens in peritonsillar abscesses. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2011;30:619–27.
13. Love RL, Allison R, Chambers ST. Peritonsillar infection in Christchurch 2006–2008: epidemiology and microbiology. *N Z Med J*. 2011;124:16–23.
14. Sowerby LJ, Hussain Z, Husein M. The epidemiology, antibiotic resistance and post-discharge course of peritonsillar abscesses in London, Ontario. *J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2013;42:1–7.
15. Klug TE. Incidence and microbiology of peritonsillar abscess: the influence of season, age, and gender. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2014;33:1163–7.
16. Albertz N, Nazar G. Peritonsillar abscess: treatment with immediate tonsillectomy – 10 years of experience. *Acta Otolaryngol*. 2012;132:1102–7.
17. Marom T, Cinamon U, Itskoviz D, Roth Y. Changing trends of peritonsillar abscess. *Am J Otolaryngol*. 2010;31:162–7.
18. Mazur E, Czerwinska E, Korona-Glowinski I, Grochowalska A, Koziol-Montewka M. Epidemiology, clinical history and microbiology of peritonsillar abscess. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2015;34:549–54.
19. Brook I. Aerobic and anaerobic bacteriology of peritonsillar abscess in children. *Acta Paediatr Scand*. 1981;70:831–5.
20. Jousimies-Somer H, Savolainen S, Makitie A, Ylikoski J. Bacteriologic findings in peritonsillar abscesses in young adults. *Clin Infect Dis*. 1993;16 Suppl. 4:S292–8.
21. Brook I. The role of anaerobic bacteria in tonsillitis. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol*. 2005;69:9–19.
22. Parhiscar A, Har-El G. Deep neck abscess: a retrospective review of 210 cases. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol*. 2001;110:1051–4.
23. Huang TT, Tseng FY, Yeh TH, Hsu CJ, Chen YS. Factors affecting the bacteriology of deep neck infection: a retrospective study of 128 patients. *Acta Otolaryngol*. 2006;126:396–401.
24. Rega AJ, Aziz SR, Ziccardi VB. Microbiology and antibiotic sensitivities of head and neck space infections of odontogenic origin. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2006;64:1377–80.
25. Siu LK, Yeh KM, Lin JC, Fung CP, Chang FY. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* liver abscess: a new invasive syndrome. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2012;12:881–7.
26. Wang JH, Liu YC, Lee SS, Yen MY, Chen YS, Wang JH, et al. Primary liver abscess due to *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in Taiwan. *Clin Infect Dis*. 1998;26:1434–8.
27. Castle SC. Clinical relevance of age-related immune dysfunction. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2000;31:578–85.
28. Geerlings SE, Hoepelman AIM. Immune dysfunction in patients with diabetes mellitus (DM). *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol*. 1999;26:259–65.

29. Huang TT, Liu TC, Chen PR, Tseng FY, Yeh TH, Chen YS. Deep neck infection: analysis of 185 cases. *Head Neck*. 2004;26:854–60.
30. Huang TT, Tseng FY, Liu TC, Hsu CJ, Chen YS. Deep neck infection in diabetic patients: comparison of clinical picture and outcomes with nondiabetic patients. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2005;132:943–7.
31. Herzon FS, Harris P. Mosher Award thesis. Peritonsillar abscess: incidence, current management practices, and a proposal for treatment guidelines. *Laryngoscope*. 1995;105:1–17.
32. Repanos C, Mukherjee P, Alwahab Y. Role of microbiological studies in management of peritonsillar abscess. *J Laryngol Otol*. 2009;123:877–9.
33. Powell EL, Powell J, Samuel JR, Wilson JA. A review of the pathogenesis of adult peritonsillar abscess: time for a re-evaluation. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2013;68:1941–50.
34. Klug TE, Rusan M, Clemmensen KK, Fuursted K, Ovesen T. Smoking promotes peritonsillar abscess. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol*. 2013;270:3163–7.
35. Ling LJ, Hung SL, Tseng SC, Chen YT, Chi LY, Wu KM, et al. Association between betel quid chewing, periodontal status and periodontal pathogens. *Oral Microbiol Immunol*. 2001;16:364–9.
36. Lepelletier D, Pinaud V, Le Conte P, Bourigault C, Asseray N, Ballereau F, et al. Peritonsillar abscess (PTA): clinical characteristics, microbiology, drug exposures and outcomes of a large multicenter cohort survey of 412 patients hospitalized in 13 French university hospitals. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2016;35:867–73.
37. Brook I, Frazier EH, Thompson DH. Aerobic and anaerobic microbiology of peritonsillar abscess. *Laryngoscope*. 1991;101:289–92.
38. Snow DG, Campbell JB, Morgan DW. The microbiology of peritonsillar sepsis. *J Laryngol Otol*. 1991;105:553–5.
39. Muir DC, Papesch ME, Allison RS. Peritonsillar infection in Christchurch 1990–2: microbiology and management. *N Z Med J*. 1995;108:53–4.
40. Prior A, Montgomery P, Mitchelmore I, Tabaqchali S. The microbiology and antibiotic treatment of peritonsillar abscesses. *Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci*. 1995;20:219–23.
41. Hanna BC, McMullan R, Gallagher G, Hedderwick S. The epidemiology of peritonsillar abscess disease in Northern Ireland. *J Infect*. 2006;52:247–53.
42. Sakae FA, Imamura R, Sennes LU, Araujo Filho BC, Tsuji DH. Microbiology of peritonsillar abscesses. *Braz J Otorhinolaryngol*. 2006;72:247–51.
43. Hidaka H, Kuriyama S, Yano H, Tsuji I, Kobayashi T. Precipitating factors in the pathogenesis of peritonsillar abscess and bacteriological significance of the *Streptococcus milleri* group. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2011;30:527–32.
44. Plum AW, Mortelliti AJ, Walsh RE. Microbial flora and antibiotic resistance in peritonsillar abscesses in Upstate New York. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol*. 2015;124:875–80.
45. Tachibana T, Orita Y, Takao S, Ogawara Y, Matsuyama Y, Shimizu A, et al. The role of bacteriological studies in the management of peritonsillar abscess. *Auris Nasus Larynx*. 2016;43:648–53.
46. Vaikjarv R, Kasenomm P, Jaanimäe L, Kivisild A, Roop T, Sepp E, et al. Microbiology of peritonsillar abscess in the South Estonian population. *Microb Ecol Health Dis*. 2016;27:27787.